arXiv:1510.02998v1 [math.AP] 11 Oct 2015

Uniform Bound of Sobolev Norms of Solutions to 3D Nonlinear Wave Equations with Null Condition

Fan Wang*

Abstract

This article concerns the time growth of Sobolev norms of classical solutions to the 3D quasi-linear wave equations with the null condition. Given initial data in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ with compact supports, the global well-posedness theory has been established independently by Klainerman [13] and Christodoulou [3], respectively, for a relatively large integer s. However, the highest order Sobolev energy, namely, the H^s energy of solutions may have a logrithmatic growth in time. In this paper, we show that the H^s energy of solutions is also uniformly bounded for $s \geq 5$. The proof employs the generalized energy method of Klainerman, enhanced by weighted L^2 estimates and the ghost weight introduced by Alinhac.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the time growth rate of the highest Sobolev norm of solutions to the nonlinear wave equations of the form

$$\Box u = B_{\lambda\mu\nu}\partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \partial_\nu u, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(0,\cdot) = \varphi, \quad \partial_t u(0,\cdot) = \psi, \tag{1.2}$$

in three spatial dimensions. Here the Greek indices λ, μ, ν range from 0 to 3, in this paper, Latin induces range from 1 to 3, and the Einstein convention is applied over repeated indices. The D'Alembertian, which acts on scalar-valued functions u: $[0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$, is denoted by $\Box = \partial_{tt}^2 - \Delta$. $B_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ are constants which satisfies the following symmetry:

$$B_{\lambda\mu\nu} = B_{\lambda\nu\mu}. \tag{1.3}$$

For the initial data φ, ψ, we assume that

$$(\varphi, \psi) \in H_0^s(B_R) \times H_0^{s-1}(B_R), \quad s \geq 5, \tag{1.4}$$

where B_R is a ball of radius R for some $R > 0$, which centers at the origin.

We denote the bilinear form coming from nonlinearities by

$$N(u,v) = B_{\lambda\mu\nu}\partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \partial_\nu v. \tag{1.5}$$

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P.R.China. *Email: wangf767@gmail.com*

Suggested by S. Klainerman ([11]), the nonlinearities in (1.1) are called to satisfy the so-called null condition if

$$B_{\lambda\mu\nu}X_\lambda X_\mu X_\nu = 0, \tag{1.6}$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is the hypersurface defined as

$$\mathcal{N} = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^4 : X_0^2 - (X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2) = 0\}.$$

In this paper we set $X_0 = -1$ without loss of generality.

To place our result in context, we review a few highlights from the existence theory of nonlinear wave equations. The global existence of the solutions to wave equation in two and three dimensions have been studied by many authors and we will not attempt to exhaust references in this paper. The initial value problem for small solutions of 3D quasilinear wave equations with quadratic nonlinearities is almost globally well-posed [5], and in general this is sharp [4]. The global well-posedness of classical solutions to the Cauchy problem for the quasilinear wave equations (1.1)-(1.2) was established in 1986 independently by Klainerman [13] and Christodoulou [3], under the assumption that the nonlinearities satisfy the null condition (1.6), for sufficiently small initial data. More precisely, for initial data in $H_0^s(B_R)\times H_0^{s-1}(B_R)$, here s is a relatively large integer, the result in [13] implies that the (lower order) H^{s-2} energy of solutions is uniformly bounded, while the highest Sobolev energy, namely, H^s energy may have a logrithmatic growth in time. The highest Sobolev energy of solutions of Christodoulou in [3] also has a kind of time growth. Here and in what follows, by H^s energy of a solution $u(t,x)$ we mean

$$\mathcal{H}^s(u(t)) = \sup_{t>0} \|\partial_t u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^{s-1}} + \sup_{t>0} \|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^{s-1}}. \tag{1.7}$$

In this paper, we prove that the H^s energy of solutions is also uniformly bounded starting from a $H_0^s(B_R) \times H_0^{s-1}(B_R)$ data. Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let $s \geq 5$. Assume that the nonlinear terms in (1.1) satisfy the symmetry condition (1.3) and null condition (1.6). Then the initial value problem for (1.1) with initial data (1.2) satisfying

$$E_s(u(0)) < \epsilon$$

is globally well-posed for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ and the highest order energy is globally bounded: $E_s(u(t)) \leq C\epsilon$.

The definition of the generalized energy $E_s(u(t))$ is given in (2.3).

Before ending the introduction, let us describe technical features in the present paper at length. The result of Christodoulou[3] relied on the conformal method, the null condition implies then that the nonlinear terms of the equations transform into smooth terms, and the problem is reduced to a local problem with small data. While the proof of Klainerman uses a special energy inequality for the wave equation, which is obtained by multiplying by an appropriate vector field with quadratic coefficients (see [8] for an account of both aspects). They obtained the uniform bound of the H^{s-2} energy norm of solutions if the initial data $(\phi, \psi) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$ with compact support, but the H^s energy norm of the solutions may have a slightly power function growth in time. For elastic waves, in [19], T. C. Sideris carried out the energy integral argument by deriving a pair of coupled differential inequalities for a higher order energy and a lower order energy, which was used extensively in later studies

[6, 7, 9, 10, 20, 21, 22] by a lot of authors. The authors distinguished two different levels energy, the lower order Sobolev energy of which must remain small, while the highest Sobolev energy will grow polynomially in time. We notice that in the estimation for the highest order energy, the null condition plays no role and hence the highest order energy may have a slightly power function growth in time. The null condition only comes in when estimating the lower order energy, which yields an extra time decay and a uniform bound for Sobolev norm in H^{s-2}.

In this paper, we show that for 3D scalar quasilinear wave equations with null condition the H^s energy of solutions is also uniformly bounded for $s \geq 5$. Our analysis is in line with that of Zhen Lei, T. C. Sideris, Yi Zhou [16], The proof employs the generalized energy method of Klainerman, enhanced by weighted L^2 estimates and the ghost weight introduced by Alinhac [1], which was used to study the global well-posedness of classical solutions to nonlinear wave equations with null condition in 2D. The advantage of [16] is that one can still use the null condition in the highest order energy estimate, which is why we can get a uniform bound for the highest order energy. At the time of this writing, it is still open whether the highest order energy is uniformly bounded or not in the case of 2D nonlinear wave equations. The corresponding problem is also open for nonlinear wave systems with different speeds in both two and three dimensions. In addition, we wish push forward our method to proof the global existence of the 2D incompressible isotropic elastodynamics in the future. After we completed this paper, we learn from [2] page 94, in which a similar results were obtained by Alinhac.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we will introduce some notations and recall some basic tools which are necessary for the proof of our Theorem. Then in section 3 and section 4 we present the proof of Theorem 1.1. In this paper, the letter C denotes various positive and finite constants whose exact values are unimportant and may vary from line to line.

2 Preliminaries

We explain the notation used in this paper, points in $\mathbb{R}^4$ will be denoted by $X = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = (t, x)$, and $r = |x|$. Partial derivatives will be written as $\partial_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$. Following S. Klainerman [12], introduce a set of partial differential operators

$$\partial = (\partial_t, \nabla) = (\partial_t, \partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3),$$

$$\Omega = (\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3) = x \wedge \nabla = (x_2\partial_3 - x_3\partial_2, x_3\partial_1 - x_1\partial_3, x_1\partial_2 - x_2\partial_1),$$

$$L = (L_1, L_2, L_3) = (t\partial_1 + x_1\partial_t, t\partial_2 + x_2\partial_t, t\partial_3 + x_3\partial_t),$$

$$S = t\partial_t + x \cdot \nabla = t\partial_t + r\partial_r.$$

The eleven vector fields will be written as $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \cdots, \Gamma_{10}) = (\partial, \Omega, L, S)$. Thus, the spatial derivatives can be decomposed as

$$\nabla = \frac{x}{r}\partial_r - \frac{x}{r^2} \wedge \Omega. \tag{2.1}$$

For multi-indices $a = (a_0, \cdots, a_{10})$, we denote

$$\Gamma^a = \Gamma_0^{a_0} \cdots \Gamma_{10}^{a_{10}}.$$

It is convenient to set $\Gamma^a = 1$ if $|a| = 0$. Suppose that b and c are disjoint subsequences of a. Then we will say $b + c = a$, if $b_i + c_i = a_i$ for all i, and $b + c \leq a$, if $b_i + c_i \leq a_i$ for all i.

Associated with the D'Alembertian operator, in this paper, we define a new energy

$$E_1(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\partial_t u|^2 e^{-q} + |\nabla u|^2 e^{-q})dx, \tag{2.2}$$

where $q' = \frac{1}{1+\sigma^2}$, with $q(0) = 0$, $\sigma = t - r$. From which we know that q is uniformly bounded from below and above. The generalized energy is defined as

$$E_s(u(t)) = \sum_{|a|\leq s-1} E_1(\Gamma^a u(t)), s = 2, 3, \cdots \tag{2.3}$$

In order to proof theorem 1.1, we shall record the following several lemmas. The first one just describes the relationship between the usual derivative ∂ and the Γ operator.

Lemma 2.1. For any integer $N \geq 0$, we have

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=N} |\partial^\alpha u(t,x)| \leq C_N(1 + |t - |x||)^{-N} \sum_{|\beta|\leq N} |\Gamma^\beta u(t,x)|, \tag{2.4}$$

where α and β are multi-indices and C_N is a positive constant depending on N.

Proof. See [17]. □

We also list a special case for $|\alpha| = 1$, which will be constantly used in this paper.

Remark 2.2. Suppose $|\alpha| = 1$, we have

$$|\partial u(t,x) \leq C(1 + |t - x|)^{-1} \sum_{|\beta|=1} |\Gamma^\beta u(t,x)|. \tag{2.5}$$

We shall also need the following Sobolev-type inequalities with weight. It's proof appeared implicity in [15].

Lemma 2.3. For any $u(t,x) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$r^{\frac{1}{2}}|u(t,x)| \leq C \sum_{|\beta|\leq 1} ||\nabla\Omega^\beta u(t,x)||_{L^2} \tag{2.6}$$

provided that the norm on the right hand side is finite.

The next lemma is Klainerman's inequalities which will be constantly used in the energy estimates.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $u = u(t,x)$ is a function with compact support in the variable x for any fixed $t \geq 0$. Then for any integer $N \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|\alpha|\leq N} |\Gamma^\alpha u(t,.)|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(1 + t + |x|)^{-\frac{n-1}{p}}(1 + |t - x|)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N+[\frac{n}{p}]+1} \|\Gamma^\alpha u(t,.)\|_{L^p}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $p \geq 1$ and C is a positive constant.

Proof. See S. Klainerman [14]. □

Remark 2.5. From lemma 2.4, we obtain $|u(t,x)| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\|u(t,.)\|_{\Gamma,s,2}$, $s > \frac{n}{2}$, for $|x| \leq \frac{t+1}{2}$. And $|u(t,x)| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\|u(t,.)\|_{\Gamma,s,2}$, $s > \frac{n}{2}$, for $|x| \geq \frac{t+1}{2}$, here $\|u(t,.)\|_{\Gamma,s,2} \triangleq \sum_{|\alpha|\leq s}\|\Gamma^{\alpha}u\|_{L^2}$ and s is a integer.

The following lemma imply that the operator $\partial_t + \partial_r$ has a $(1+t)^{-1}$ decay in the region $|x| \geq \frac{t+1}{2}$.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose $|x| \geq \frac{t+1}{2}$, then we have $|(\partial_t + \partial_r)u| \leq \frac{C}{1+t}|\Gamma u|$.

Proof. Recalling the definitions of L_0 and L_i,

$$L_0 = t\partial_t + x_1\partial_{x_1} + \cdots + x_n\partial_{x_n} = t\partial_t + r\partial_r, \tag{2.8}$$

$$L_i = t\partial_{x_i} + x_i\partial_t. \tag{2.9}$$

Multiplying (2.9) by x_i,

$$x_iL_i = tx_i\partial_{x_i} + x_i^2\partial_t = tr\partial_r + r^2\partial_t. \tag{2.10}$$

Dividing (2.10) by r and adding (2.8), we obtain the following identity

$$\partial_t + \partial_r = \frac{L_0 + \frac{x_i}{r}L_i}{t+r} \tag{2.11}$$

This completes the proof. □

The next result captures the manner in which the null condition will be useful in the course of the energy estimates.

Lemma 2.7. Suppose that the nonlinear form $N(u,u)$ satisfies the null condition (1.6). For $r \geq \frac{t+1}{2}$, we have

$$|B_{\lambda\mu\nu}\partial_\lambda u\partial_\mu\partial_\nu u| \leq \frac{C}{1+t}[|\Gamma u||\partial^2 v| + |\partial u||\partial\Gamma u| + \langle t-r\rangle|\partial u||\partial^2 u|] \tag{2.12}$$

in which $\langle x\rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Proof. See T. C. Sideris [21]. □

The following Hardy type inequality will be used in the final stage of the energy estimates.

Lemma 2.8. Suppose that, for every $t > 0$, smooth functions $u = u(t,x)$ are compactly supported in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| \leq t + R\}$ with suitable constants $C > 0$ and $R > 0$. Then the inequality

$$\|\frac{1}{\langle t-r\rangle}u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_R\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \tag{2.13}$$

holds for a constant C_R with $C_R \to \infty$ as $R \to \infty$

Proof. See H. Lindblad [18]. □

In preparation for the energy estimates, we need to consider the commutation properties of the vector fields with respect to the nonlinear terms. It is necessary to verify that the null structure is preserved upon differentiation.

Lemma 2.9. Let u be solution of (1.1). Assume that the null condition (1.6) holds for the nonlinearity in (1.5). Then for $|a| \leq l-1$,

$$\Box \Gamma^a u(t) = \sum_{b+c+d=a} N_d(\Gamma^b u, \Gamma^c u), \tag{2.14}$$

in which each N_d is a quadratic nonlinearity of the form (1.5) satisfying (1.6). Moreover, if $b+c=a$, then $N_d = N$.

Proof. See L. Hörmander [8] (see also T. C. Sideris [21]). □

3 Energy estimates

In this section, we will derive a priori nonlinear energy estimates for the system (1.1). To complete the proof of theorem 1.1, let us first say a few words on the plan of our energy integral argument. For initial data $(\varphi, \psi) \in H_0^s(B_R) \times H_0^{s-1}(B_R), s \geq 5$, let us assume $E_s(u(t)) < 2\epsilon$ for all $0 \leq t < T_0$. Then we will show that $T_0 = \infty$. We use the method by contradiction. Suppose $T_0 < \infty$ is the largest time so that $E_s \leq 2\epsilon$. We are going to show that $E_s < 2\epsilon$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T_0$. This strict inequality implies that T_0 is not the largest time, which contradicts to the definition of T_0. This contradiction implies that $T_0 = \infty$. Suppose $0 \leq t < T_0$ in what follows. Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Following the energy method, we have for each $s \geq 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_s'(u(t)) = & \sum_{|a| \leq s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \Box \Gamma^a u(t), \partial_t \Gamma^a u(t) e^{-q} \rangle dx \\
& - \sum_{|a| \leq s-1} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u e^{-q} q' \frac{x}{r} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t \Gamma^a u|^2 e^{-q} q' dx \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \Gamma^a u|^2 e^{-q} q' dx \Big) \\
= & \sum_{|a| \leq s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \Box \Gamma^a u(t), \partial_t \Gamma^a u(t) e^{-q} \rangle dx \\
& - \sum_{|a| \leq s-1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x}{r} + \nabla \Gamma^a u|^2 e^{-q} q' dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

define

$$G = \sum_{|a| \leq s-1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x}{r} + \nabla \Gamma^a u|^2 e^{-q} q' dx$$

and from Lemma 2.9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E_s'(u(t)) + G = & \sum_{|a| \leq s-1} \sum_{b+c+d=a} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle N_d(\Gamma^b u, \Gamma^c u), \partial_t \Gamma^a u(t) e^{-q} \rangle dx \\
= & \sum_{|a| \leq s-1} \sum_{\substack{b+c+d=a \\ d=0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle N_d(\Gamma^b u, \Gamma^c u), \partial_t \Gamma^a u(t) e^{-q} \rangle dx \\
& + \sum_{|a| \leq s-1} \sum_{\substack{b+c+d=a \\ d \neq 0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle N_d(\Gamma^b u, \Gamma^c u)), \partial_t \Gamma^a u(t) e^{-q} \rangle dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The first terms above with $b = 0$, $c = a$, and $|a| = s - 1$ is handled with the aid of the symmetry condition (1.3) which allows us to integrate by parts as follows. Recalling that from Lemma 2.9, $N_d = N$ when $b + c = a$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle N(u, \Gamma^a u), \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} \rangle dx = B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&= B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\nu (\partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q}) dx - B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&\quad - B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\nu \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx - B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot \partial_\nu e^{-q} dx \\
&= B_{\lambda\mu 0} \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx - B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_t (\partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u) \cdot e^{-q} dx - B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot \partial_\nu e^{-q} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \eta_{\nu\delta} \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\delta \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx - B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx + \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} (-q') dx \\
&\quad - B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot \partial_\nu e^{-q} dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

using the symbol $\eta_{\gamma\delta} = \text{Diag}[1, -1, -1, -1]$. The first term above can be absorbed into the energy as a lower order perturbation. Define

$$\widetilde{E}_s(u(t)) = E_s(u(t)) - \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \eta_{\nu\delta} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\delta \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx. \tag{3.4}$$

The perturbation is bounded by $C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} E_s(u(t))$, but by Sobolev Imbedding theorem, the maximum norm $\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}$ is controlled by $E_3^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq E_s^{\frac{1}{2}} < 2\epsilon$. Thus, for small solutions we have

$$\frac{1}{2} E_s(u(t)) \leq \widetilde{E}_s(u(t)) \leq 2 E_s(u(t)). \tag{3.5}$$

For the last two terms of (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} (-q') dx \\
&\quad - B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot \partial_\nu e^{-q} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} q' dx \\
&\quad - B_{\lambda\mu i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u (\partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x_i}{r} + \partial_i \Gamma^a u) \cdot e^{-q} q' dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Returning to (3.2), we have derive the following energy identity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E_s'(u(t)) + G - \frac{1}{2}B_{\lambda\mu\nu}\eta_{\nu\delta}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_\lambda u\partial_\mu\Gamma^a u\partial_\delta\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
=&\frac{1}{2}B_{\lambda\mu\nu}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_\lambda u\partial_\mu\Gamma^a u\partial_\nu\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&-B_{\lambda\mu\nu}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda u\partial_\mu\Gamma^a u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&-B_{\lambda\mu i}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_\lambda u\partial_\mu\Gamma^a u(\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_i}{r}+\partial_i\Gamma^a u)\cdot e^{-q}q'dx\\
&+\frac{1}{2}B_{\lambda\mu\nu}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_\lambda u\partial_\mu\Gamma^a u\partial_\nu\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}q'dx\\
&+\sum_{|a|=s-1}\sum_{\substack{b+c=a\\c\neq a}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\langle N(\Gamma^b u,\Gamma^c u),\partial_t\Gamma^a u(t)e^{-q}\rangle dx\\
&+\sum_{|a|\leq s-2}\sum_{b+c=a}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\langle N(\Gamma^b u,\Gamma^c u)),\partial_t\Gamma^a u(t)e^{-q}\rangle dx\\
&+\sum_{|a|\leq s-1}\sum_{\substack{b+c+d=a\\d\neq 0}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\langle N_d(\Gamma^b u,\Gamma^c u),\partial_t\Gamma^a u(t)e^{-q}\rangle dx\\
:=&\, J_1+J_2+J_3+J_4+J_5+J_6+J_7.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.7}
$$

In what follows, we shall estimate each terms on the right hand side of (3.7).
Estimates for J_3.
By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.4, the terms J_3 can be bounded as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_3&\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\partial u|_{L^\infty}|\partial\Gamma^a u e^{-\frac{q}{2}}||(\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_i}{r}+\partial_i\Gamma^a u)\cdot e^{-\frac{q}{2}}q'|dx\\
&\leq\frac{C}{1+t}E_sG^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq\frac{C}{(1+t)^2}E_s^2+\epsilon G.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.8}
$$

To estimate the other terms on the right-hand side of (3.7). We will divide the integral region $\mathbb{R}^3$ into two parts: *inside the cones* $\{(t,x):|x|\leq\frac{t+1}{2}\}$ and *away from the origin* $\{(t,x):|x|\geq\frac{t+1}{2}\}$
Estimates for other terms inside the cones: $\{(t,x):|x|\leq\frac{t+1}{2}\}$.
Inside the cones. On the region: $|x|\leq\frac{t+1}{2}$, the terms J_1,J_2,J_5,J_6,J_7 can be bounded by

$$
\sum_{|a|\leq s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\partial^2\Gamma^b u\partial\Gamma^c u\partial\Gamma^a u e^{-q}|dx
\tag{3.9}
$$

with $|b+c|\leq s-1$ and $|b|\leq s-2$. Noticing that $s\geq 5$, combining with Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$
(3.9)\leq\frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)).
\tag{3.10}
$$

For the terms J_4, by Lemma 2.4 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 &\le C \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial u \partial \Gamma^a u \partial \Gamma^a u e^{-q} q' dx \\ &\le C \sum_{|a|=s-1} \|\partial u\|_{L\infty} \|\partial \Gamma^a u e^{-q/2}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\le \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Combining the estimates (3.8)(3.10)(3.11) and taking (3.5) into account, we obtain the following energy inequality:

$$\widetilde{E}_s'(u(t)) + G \le \frac{C}{(1+t)^2} \widetilde{E}_s^2(u(t)) + \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \widetilde{E}_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)) + \epsilon G, \tag{3.12}$$

for the portion of the integrals over $|x| \le \frac{t+1}{2}$.

Away from the origin. On the region: $|x| \ge \frac{t+1}{2}$, we may first focus on the estimates of J_1 and J_2. As the proof of J_1 and J_2 is similar, we just present the proof of J_1. We leave the proof of J_2 to interested readers.

Estimates for J_1.

To bound the terms J_1, we sort them out into the following four case:

Case a: all of λ, μ, ν are not zero, λ, μ, $\nu \in \{1,2,3\}$. In order to obtain the uniform Sobolev H^s norms, the terms G play an important role. First, we split J_1 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_\lambda u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\ =& \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_\lambda u (\partial_\mu \Gamma^a u + \partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x_\mu}{r}) \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\ &- \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_\lambda u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x_\mu}{r} (\partial_\nu \Gamma^a u + \partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x_\nu}{r}) e^{-q} dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_\lambda u \frac{x_\mu}{r} \frac{x_\nu}{r} \partial_t \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u e^{-q} dx \\ :=& J_{11} + J_{12} + J_{13}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

We need estimate the above terms one by one. First, in light of Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.4:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_\lambda u \frac{1}{\sqrt{q'}} (\partial_\mu \Gamma^a u + \partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x_\mu}{r}) \sqrt{q'} \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\ &\le \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \Gamma u (\partial_\mu \Gamma^a u + \partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x_\mu}{r}) \sqrt{q'} \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\ &\le \frac{C}{1+t} E_s G^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{C}{(1+t)^2} E_s^2 + \epsilon G. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Similarly

$$J_{12} \le \frac{C}{1+t} E_s G^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{C}{(1+t)^2} E_s^2 + \epsilon G. \tag{3.15}$$

In order to use the null condition, using the spatial derivatives decompose (2.1), we split the terms J_{13} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{13} &= \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_\lambda u \frac{x_\mu}{r} \frac{x_\nu}{r} \partial_t \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u e^{-q} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_r u \frac{x_\lambda}{r} \frac{x_\mu}{r} \frac{x_\nu}{r} \partial_t \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u e^{-q} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t (\frac{x}{r^2} \wedge \Omega)_\lambda u \frac{x_\mu}{r} \frac{x_\nu}{r} \partial_t \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u e^{-q} dx \\ &:= J_{131} + J_{132}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Using Lemma 2.4, the second terms are bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} J_{132} &\le \frac{C}{1+t} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial \Gamma u \partial \Gamma^a u \partial \Gamma^a u e^{-q} dx \\ &\le \frac{C}{(1+t)^2} E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

for $|x| \ge \frac{t+1}{2}$.
case b: Only one of λ, μ, ν is zero.
1)For $\lambda = 0$, μ, $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} B_{0\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_t u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} B_{0\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t (\partial_t + \partial_r) u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} B_{0\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_r u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\ &:= K_1 + K_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

By Lemma 2.6, Lemma 2.4, the first terms K_1 can be bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &\le \frac{1}{2} B_{0\mu\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\partial_t \Gamma u}{1+t} \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\ &\le \frac{C}{(1+t)^2} E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

On the other hand, for the second terms K_2, we split it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}B_{0\mu\nu}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_r u\partial_\mu\Gamma^a u\partial_\nu\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}B_{0\mu\nu}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_r u(\partial_\mu\Gamma^a u+\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_\mu}{r})\partial_\nu\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}B_{0\mu\nu}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_r u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_\mu}{r}(\partial_\nu\Gamma^a u+\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_\lambda}{r})\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{2}B_{0\mu\nu}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_r u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_\mu}{r}\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_\nu}{r}\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&:= K_{21}+K_{22}+K_{23}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

The terms K_{21}, K_{22} are handled in a similar fashion as J_{11}.

$$K_{21}+K_{22}\le\frac{C}{1+t}E_sG^{\frac{1}{2}}\le\frac{C}{(1+t)^2}E_s^2+\epsilon G.\tag{3.21}$$

2)Similarly, for $\mu=0$, λ, $\nu\in\{1,2,3\}$, we proceed as before:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}B_{\lambda0\nu}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_\lambda u\partial_\nu\Gamma^a u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&=\frac{1}{2}B_{\lambda0\nu}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_\lambda u(\partial_\nu\Gamma^a u+\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_\nu}{r})\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{2}B_{\lambda0\nu}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_r u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_\lambda}{r}\frac{x_\nu}{r}\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}B_{\lambda0\nu}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t(\frac{x}{r^2}\wedge\Omega)_\lambda u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_\nu}{r}\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&:= L_1+L_2+L_3.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

3)For $\nu=0$, λ, $\mu\in\{1,2,3\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}B_{\lambda\mu0}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_\lambda u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\partial_\mu\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&=\frac{1}{2}B_{\lambda\mu0}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_\lambda u\partial_t\Gamma^a u(\partial_\mu\Gamma^a u+\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_\mu}{r})\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{2}B_{\lambda\mu0}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_r u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_\lambda}{r}\frac{x_\mu}{r}\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}B_{\lambda\mu0}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t(\frac{x}{r^2}\wedge\Omega)_\lambda u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\frac{x_\mu}{r}\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&:= M_1+M_2+M_3.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

For the estimate of the terms L_1, M_1, we proceed as in J_{11} to obtain

$$L_1 + M_1 \leq \frac{C}{1+t} E_s G^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{C}{(1+t)^2} E_s^2 + \epsilon G. \tag{3.24}$$

The terms L_3, M_3 are handled in a similar fashion as J_{132}

$$L_3 + M_3 \leq \frac{C}{(1+t)^2} E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{3.25}$$

Case c: two of λ, μ, ν are zero.
1)For $\lambda = \mu = 0$, $\nu \in \{1,2,3\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} B_{00\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_t u \partial_\nu \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} B_{00\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_t u (\partial_\nu \Gamma^a u + \partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x_\nu}{r}) \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} B_{00\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t (\partial_t + \partial_r) u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x_\nu}{r} \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} B_{00\nu} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_r u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x_\nu}{r} \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&:= N_{11} + N_{12} + N_{13}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

2)For $\mu = \nu = 0$, $\lambda \in \{1,2,3\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda 00} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_\lambda u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda 00} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_r u \frac{x_\lambda}{r} \partial_t \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} B_{\lambda 00} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t (\frac{x}{r^2} \wedge \Omega)_\lambda u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&:= N_{21} + N_{22}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

3)For $\lambda = \nu = 0$, $\lambda \in \{1,2,3\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} B_{0\mu 0} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_t u \partial_\mu \Gamma^a u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} B_{0\mu 0} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_t u (\partial_\mu \Gamma^a u + \partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x_\mu}{r}) \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} B_{0\mu 0} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t (\partial_t + \partial_r) u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x_\mu}{r} \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} B_{0\mu 0} \sum_{|a|=s-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \partial_r u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \frac{x_\mu}{r} \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx \\
&:= N_{31} + N_{32} + N_{33}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

For the estimate of the terms N_{11}, N_{31}, we proceed as in J_{11} to obtain:

$$N_{11}+N_{31}\leq\frac{C}{1+t}E_sG^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq\frac{C}{(1+t)^2}E_s^2+\epsilon G. \tag{3.29}$$

The terms N_{22} are handled in a similar fashion as J_{132}

$$N_{22}\leq\frac{C}{(1+t)^2}E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{3.30}$$

The terms N_{12}, N_{32} are handled in a similar fashion as K_1. By Lemma 2.6, Lemma 2.4

$$N_{12}+N_{32}\leq\frac{C}{(1+t)^2}E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)). \tag{3.31}$$

Case d: For $\lambda=\mu=\nu=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}B_{000}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_t u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
=&\frac{1}{2}B_{000}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t(\partial_t+\partial_r)u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
&-\frac{1}{2}B_{000}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\partial_r u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}dx\\
=&O_1+O_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

The terms O_1 are handled in a similar fashion as K_1. By Lemma 2.6, Lemma 2.4

$$O_1\leq\frac{C}{(1+t)^2}E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)). \tag{3.33}$$

Finally, noticing that $x_0=-1$, the terms $J_{131}, K_{23}, L_2, M_2, N_{13}, N_{21}, N_{33}, O_2$ vanish since the null condition. Therefore, we deduce from the four cases above that

$$J_1\leq\frac{C}{(1+t)^2}E_s^2(u(t))+\frac{C}{(1+t)^2}E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t))+\frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t))+\epsilon G, \tag{3.34}$$

for the portion of the integrals over $|x|\geq\frac{t+1}{2}$.

Estimates for J_4.

J_4 is treated in a similar (in fact, easier) way as J_1, so we omit the detail, here we just point out the difference. For example, for $\lambda=\mu=\nu=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}B_{000}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}q'dx\\
=&\frac{1}{2}B_{000}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\partial_t+\partial_r)u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}q'dx\\
&-\frac{1}{2}B_{000}\sum_{|a|=s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_r u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\partial_t\Gamma^a u\cdot e^{-q}q'dx\\
:=&J_{41}+J_{42}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Using Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$J_{41} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\Gamma u}{1+t} \partial\Gamma^a u \partial\Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} q' dx. \tag{3.36}$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_{41} &\leq \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{r^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma u}{1+t} \partial\Gamma^a u \partial\Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} q' dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

for the portion of the integrals over $r \geq \frac{t+1}{2}$. This is the crucial point that helps us obtain the energy. Therefore, we deduce that

$$J_4 \leq \frac{C}{(1+t)^2} E_s^2(u(t)) + \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)) + \epsilon G. \tag{3.38}$$

Estimates for J_5, J_6, J_7.
To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, it remains to deal with the terms J_5, J_6, J_7, which could be estimated of the form:

$$A = \sum_{|a| \leq s-1} \sum_{\substack{b+c=a \\ b \neq a, c \neq a}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial^2 \Gamma^b u \partial\Gamma^c u \partial_t \Gamma^a u \cdot e^{-q} dx. \tag{3.39}$$

We notice that, by Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \leq & \sum_{|a| \leq s-1} \sum_{\substack{b+c=a \\ b \neq a, c \neq a}} \frac{C}{1+t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial^2 \Gamma^b u| |\Gamma^{c+1} u| \partial\Gamma^a u| e^{-q} dx \\ & + \sum_{|a| \leq s-1} \sum_{\substack{b+c=a \\ b \neq a, c \neq a}} \frac{C}{1+t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial\Gamma^{b+1} u| |\partial\Gamma^c u| \partial\Gamma^a u| e^{-q} dx \\ := & P_1 + P_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

In order to estimate the terms P_2, notice that $|b+c| \leq s-1$, thus there is one factor with at most $[\frac{s-1}{2}]$ derivatives. This term is estimated in L^∞ and the others in L^2. The result is that

$$P_2 \leq \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)). \tag{3.41}$$

The terms P_1 are much more complicated, here, Lemma 2.8 play an important role, in order to obtain the energy, we shall separate the cases in the summation of P_1 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \sum_{|a|\le s-1}\sum_{\substack{b+c=a\\ b\neq a, c\neq a}} \frac{C}{1+t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial^2\Gamma^b u||\Gamma^{c+1}u|\partial\Gamma^a u|e^{-q}dx \\ &= \sum_{|a|\le s-1}\sum_{\substack{b+c=a\\ b\neq a, |c|=|a|-1}} \frac{C}{1+t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial^2\Gamma^b u||\Gamma^{c+1}u|\partial\Gamma^a u|e^{-q}dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{|a|\le s-1}\sum_{\substack{b+c=a\\ b\neq a, |c|\le |a|-2}} \frac{C}{1+t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial^2\Gamma^b u||\Gamma^{c+1}u|\partial\Gamma^a u|e^{-q}dx \\ &= P_{11}+P_{12}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Using Lemma 2.8, Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.1, the terms P_{11} are estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{11} &= \sum_{|a|\le s-1}\sum_{\substack{b+c=a\\ b\neq a, |c|=|a|-1}} \frac{C}{1+t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle t-r\rangle |\partial^2\Gamma^b u|\frac{|\Gamma^{c+1}u|}{\langle t-r\rangle}|\partial\Gamma^a u|e^{-q}dx \\ &\le \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\|r^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle t-r\rangle\partial^2\Gamma^b u\|_{L^\infty}\|\frac{|\Gamma^{c+1}u|}{\langle t-r\rangle}\|_{L^2}\|\partial\Gamma^a u e^{-q/2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\le \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

On the other hand, in order to obtain the energy, we deal with the terms P_{12} as follows:

$$P_{12} = \sum_{|a|\le s-1}\sum_{\substack{b+c=a\\ b\neq a, |c|\le |a|-2}} \frac{C}{1+t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{2}}}|\partial^2\Gamma^b u|r^{\frac{1}{2}}|\Gamma^{c+1}u||\partial\Gamma^a u|e^{-q}dx. \tag{3.44}$$

Using Lemma 2.3 again, we have

$$P_{12} \le \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}E_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)). \tag{3.45}$$

Collecting the estimates above and taking (3.5) into account, we obtain the following energy inequality:

$$\widetilde{E}_s'(u(t)) + G \le \frac{C}{(1+t)^2}\widetilde{E}_s^2(u(t)) + \frac{C}{(1+t)^2}\widetilde{E_s}^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)) + \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\widetilde{E}_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)) + \epsilon G, \tag{3.46}$$

for the portion of the integrals over $|x| \ge \frac{t+1}{2}$.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Combining all the estimates in section 3, we obtain

$$\widetilde{E}_s'(u(t)) + G \le \frac{C}{(1+t)^2}\widetilde{E}_s^2(u(t)) + \frac{C}{(1+t)^2}\widetilde{E}_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)) + \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\widetilde{E}_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)) + \epsilon G. \tag{4.1}$$

Since we have assumed that

$$E_s(u(0)) < \epsilon,$$

it follows from (3.5) that

$$\widetilde{E}_s(u(0)) < 2\epsilon.$$

Now define

$$T = \sup\{t, \widetilde{E}_s(u(s)) < 4\epsilon, 0 \le s \le t, \epsilon < \frac{1}{4}\}, \tag{4.2}$$

then by continuity, $T > 0$. From now on, let $0 \le t \le T$. And from (4.1), we have

$$\widetilde{E}_s'(u(t)) + (1-\epsilon)G \le \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\widetilde{E}_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)). \tag{4.3}$$

Thus we have

$$\widetilde{E}_s'(u(t)) \le \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\widetilde{E}_s^{\frac{3}{2}}(u(t)) \le \frac{C}{(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\widetilde{E}_s(u(t))(4\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.4}$$

From which, we obtain the following inequality

$$\widetilde{E}_s(u(t)) \le \widetilde{E}_s(u(0))e^{(4\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}C(1-(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}})}. \tag{4.5}$$

Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ such that ϵ satisfies

$$e^{(4\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}C} \le \frac{3}{2}.$$

Clearly, with such kind of restriction, we obtain

$$\epsilon \le \frac{1}{4}(\frac{1}{C}\ln\frac{3}{2})^2.$$

Hence, we have

$$\widetilde{E}_s(u(t)) \le 3\epsilon. \tag{4.6}$$

Thus, T cannot be finite, so $T = \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Prof. Zhen Lei for direction and valuable comments on this paper.

References

[1] S. Alinhac, *The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions I,* Invent. Math. 145 (2001), 597-618.

[2] S. Alinhac, *Geometric analysis of hyperbolic differential equations: an introduction,* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series: 374.

[3] D. Christodoulou, *Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986), 267-282.

[4] F. John, *Blow-up for quasilinear wave equations in three space dimensions,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 34 (1981), 29-51.

[5] F. John and S. Klainerman, *Almost global existence to nonlinear wave equations in three dimensions,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984), 443-455.

[6] K. Hidano, *An elementary proof of global or almost global existence for qusilinear wave equations,* Tohoku Math. J. 56 (2004), 271-287.

[7] K. Hidano, *The global existence theorem for quasi-linear wave equations with multiple speeds,* Hokkaido Math. J. 33 (2004), 607-636.

[8] L. Hörmander, *Lectures on nonlinear hyperbolic differential equations,* Math. Appl. 26, Springer Verlag, 1997.

[9] A. Hoshiga, H. Kubo, *Global small amplitude solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic systems with a critical exponent under the null condition,* SIAM J. Math. Anal. 31 (2000) 486-513.

[10] S. Katayama, *Global existence for systems of nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions,* Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 29 (1993) 1021-1041.

[11] S. Klainerman, *Long time behavior of solutions to nonlinear wave equations,* Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Warsaw, 1982.

[12] S. Klainerman, *Uniform decay estimates and the Lorentz invariance of the classical wave equations,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 38 (1985), 321-332.

[13] S. Klainerman, *The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations,* Nonlinear systems of partial differential equations in applied mathematics, Part 1 (Santa Fe, N.M., 1984), 293-326, Lectures in Appl. Math., 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1986.

[14] S. Klainerman, *Remarks on the global sobolev inequality in the Minkowski space R^{n+1},* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 40 (1987), 111-116.

[15] S. Klainerman and T. C. Sideris, *On almost global existence for nonrelativistic wave equa- tions in 3D,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 49 (1996), 307-321.

[16] Z. Lei, T. C. Sideris, Y. Zhou, *Almost Global Existence for 2-D Incompressible Isotropic Elastodynamics,* arXiv:1212.6391.

[17] T. T. Li, Y. Zhou, *A note on the life-span of classical solutions to nonlinear wave equatinos in four space dimensions,* Indiana University Mathematics Journal. Vol. 44, No. 4, (1995), 1207-1248.

[18] H. Lindblad, *On the lifespan of solutions of nonlinear wave equations with small initial data,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 43 (1990), 445-472.

[19] T. C. Sideris, *The null condition and global existence of nonlinear elastic waves,* Invent. Math. 123 (1996), 323342.

[20] T. C. Sideris, *Nonresonance and global existence of prestressed nonlinear elastic waves,* Ann. of Math. (2), 151 (2000), 849-874.

[21] T. C. Sideris and S. -Y. Tu, *Global existence for systems of nonlinear wave equations in 3D with multiple speeds,* SIAM J. Math. Anal. 33 (2002), 477-488.

[22] K. Yokoyama, *Global existence of classical solutions to systems of wave equations with critical nonlinearity in three space dimensions,* J. Math. Soc. Japan 52 (2000), 609-632.